This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/921,834	08/03/2001	Claude Rocray	560043670132	8606		
24325 7	07/09/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER.		
STEPHEN D. SCANLON			DAS, CHAMELI			
JONES DAY 901 LAKESID	E AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			2122			
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/921,83	34	ROCRAY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		CHAMELI	C. DAS	2122				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute in the reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever cation. lays, a reply within the state ory period will apply and wil, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tirr utory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
'=	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Enth drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	n) accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) be ne correction is requir	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Information	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/8/04</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	D-152)			

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-17 are pending.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification, on page 4, line 11, and on page 18, line 1, the blank space should be replaced with appropriate US Patent application numbers.
- The "Brief description of the drawing" section does not describe figure 2A and
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 6, the limitation "requesting software by a first of the processor module" should be replaced by "requesting software by first processor module". Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. Claim 12 is the duplication of claim 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shing et al (Shing), US 5,495,610, and further in view of official notice.

As per claim 1, Shing discloses:

Application/Control Number: 09/921,834 Page 3

Art Unit: 2122

- a plurality of processor modules, including a software management processor (Abstract, lines 1-3, col, 15, lines 33-40, col 21, lines 32-35), where the mainframe processor is the "software management processor"

- a storage configuration, a plurality of software components stored in the storage (col 22, lines 18-20, col 20, lines 45-50, col 15, lines 15-21)
- wherein the software components are configured for use with the processor modules (col 15, lines 50-60, col 14, lines 9-20)
- a software generic control information file stored in the storage, wherein the control information relating to the compatibility of the software components with the processor module (col 10, lines 15-28, col 13, lines 11-28, col 14, lines 9-21, col 15, lines 50-62, col 5, lines 45-62), where the "SDS Entity-Relationship model takes account of special program types, data and interactions by further providing SDS entity and relationship types tailored for use with this special software" is considered as "software generic control information"
- wherein the software management processor uses the said control
 information to determine which of the software components to distribute to
 one of the processor modules that requests software stored on the storage
 (col 5, lines 45-63).

Shing discloses that warehouse 4 (storage configuration) is a permanent storage (col 21, lines 50-55). Shing does not specifically disclose that the storage is non-volatile memory. However, official notice is taken for non-volatile

Application/Control Number: 09/921,834 Page 4

Art Unit: 2122

memory. The modification would be obvious because one of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to store the program or data in a storage space that does not lose data when power is removed from it.

As per claim 2, Shing discloses the storage comprises disk space (col 6, lines 4-8), where data base is the warehouse 4 (col 5, lines 33-36, col 19, lines 35-40).

As per claim 3, Shing discloses the storage comprises memory device (col 12, lines 41-45).

As per claim 4, Shing does not specifically discloses the CDs. However, official notice is taken for CDs. The modification would be obvious because one of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to store the program or data in a storage space which is characterized by high capacity and the use of laser optics rather than magnetic means for reading data.

As per claim 5, Shing discloses generic control information contains a product section and a component section (col 3, lines 65 – col 4 lines 1-15), where "release" is the product section and "entity" is the component section.

As per claim 6, Shing discloses:

- checking the control information to determine if the software components are compatible with the processor modules (col 3, lines 50-65, col 13, lines 15-25)
- requesting software by first processor modules (col 26, lines 19-25)
- searching through the control information to identify which software
 components are compatible with the first processor module (col 3, lines 50-65, col 13, lines 15-25)

Art Unit: 2122

 supplying a software component file to the first processor module (col 1, lines 14-16).

Page 5

As per claim 8, Shing discloses:

- the storage configuration having a primary bank and alternate bank (Shing, col 20, lines 45-52), where "repository 5" is the primary bank and "warehouse" is the alternate bank
- downloading the software load to the alternate bank (col 23, lines 40-45)
- initiating a system boot up using software component stored in the alternate bank (col 4, lines 59-66), where Shing shows that the computer's operating systems are executing, Shing also discloses the modified software which are installed in the warehouse (alternate bank) can be executed which shows clearly that initiating a system boot up using the software component stored in the warehouse (alternate bank)
- checking the control information to determine if the software components are compatible with the processor modules (col 3, lines 50-65, col 13, lines 15-25)
- providing to the processor modules the software components that they are compatible (col 22, lines 29-54)
- verifying that the system is operating properly (col 22, lines 18-55)
- re-designating the former alternate bank as the new primary bank (col 21, lines 22-40), and the former primary bank as the new alternate bank (col 20, lines 23-33).

For the rest of the limitations see the rejection of claim 1 above.

Art Unit: 2122

For claim 9, (col 20, lines 45-52).

As per claim 10, Shing does not specifically disclose that the storage is non-volatile memory. However, official notice is taken for non-volatile memory. The modification would be obvious because one of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to store the program or data in a storage space that does not lose data when power is removed from it.

As per claim 11, Shing discloses software management processor is the only one of the processor that has direct communication with the storage (col 5, lines 5-10).

Claim 12 is the duplication of claim 9.

As per claim 13, a current context are containing a copy of system ... processor (col 15, lines 15-22, col 21, lines 60-64).

For claim 14, see the rejection of claim 1 above.

For claim 15, (col 20, lines 45-52).

As per claim 16, a current context are containing a copy of system ... processor (col 15, lines 15-22, col 21, lines 60-64).

For claim 17, see the rejections of claim 10 and 11 above.

7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shing et al (Shing), US 5,495,610, and further in view of official notice and Ellison et al (Ellison), US 6,678,825

Art Unit: 2122

As per claim 7, Shing does not specifically disclose searching by maximum and minimum hardware type. However, Ellision discloses searching by maximum and minimum hardware type (Ellison, col 13, lines 62-67- col 14, lines 1-15). The modification would be obvious because one of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to support the isolated execution mode in the processor in the multiprocessor environment.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

TITLE: Method of processing a program by parallel processing, and a processing unit thereof . US 5410696 A

TITLE: Upgrade of a program, US 6681390 B2

TITLE: Installing software based on a profile, US 6301707 B1

TITLE: Distributed processing, US 6216262 B1

TITLE: Methods and systems for booting a computer in a distributed computing system, US 5948101 A.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chameli Das whose telephone number is 703-305-1339.
 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

Art Unit: 2122

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Tuan Dam can be reached at 703-305-4552. The fax number for this group is: (703) 872-9306.

An inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600.

CHAMELI C. DAS PRIMARY EXAMINER

1/8/09